

SPRINGFIELD TOWNSHIP BOARD MEETING
February 12, 2009
SYNOPSIS

CALL TO ORDER

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

ROLL CALL

AGENDA ADDITIONS & CHANGES

PUBLIC COMMENT

CONSENT AGENDA:

- a) Approved Minutes: January 8, 2009 Regular Meeting with bills and additional disbursements of \$338,961.84
- b) Accepted January 2009 Treasurer's Report
- c) Received January 2009 Reports: Building, Electrical, Plumbing, Mechanical, Ordinance and Fire
- d) Authorized payment of bills as presented, totaling \$39,882.12
- e) Adopted resolution to approve appointment of Mark Freeman to the Holly Area Youth Assistance Board of Directors
- f) Received communications and placed on file

PUBLIC HEARINGS:

1. 2007 fy CDBG Reprogramming: Opened public hearing at 7:37 p.m., no comments received. Closed at 7:38 p.m.

OLD BUSINESS:

1. Township Vehicles Bid review: Authorized purchase of Fire command vehicle from LaFontaine Automotive Group for a price of \$26,988.28
2. Horizon EMS Agreement: Declined to enter into a mutual aid agreement with Horizon EMS
3. Web/Cable broadcasting of Township Board meetings: Reached consensus to move forward with plan to record meetings utilizing existing staff and purchase of equipment not to exceed \$500.00
4. SBA Site plan approval: Authorized administrative reviews for landscaping and detention basin requirements per the January 19, 2009 draft Planning Commission minutes

NEW BUSINESS:

1. 2007 fy CDBG Reprogramming: Reprogrammed the 2007 Senior Center funds (Account 731696) to minor home repair (Account 731227) in the amount of \$6,125.00
2. Davisburg/Dixie Market: Sign Proposal: Reviewed proposal for new signage and offered feedback to applicant
3. First Reading: Specialty Sales Ordinance: Authorized Clerk to publish for second reading
4. Second Reading: Amendments to Ordinance No. 50 Construction Code Ordinance: Adopted amendments as published for second reading and adopted associated resolution to intent for participating in the National Flood Insurance program
5. Huron Creek Residential Extension Request: Approved 1 year extension to site plan and Special Land Use
6. Assessing: Approved hiring temporary clerical assistant and increased Assessing fund budget by \$3000.00 to come from Contingency fund
7. Master Plan: Final Review: Adopted Master Plan as presented for draft with additional edits as recommend by the Planning Commission
8. Dixie Highway Access and Safety study: Authorized to enter into agreement with Hubbell, Roth & Clark with total cost of study not to exceed \$16,000.00
9. April 9, 2009 meeting date: Changed April Regular Board meeting date to April 16, 2009
10. NOHLC Lease: Approved lease of unused Building Department to co-occupy with the Oakland Conservation District at an amount of \$375.00 per month

PUBLIC COMMENT: Treasurer Dubre, commented that Monday, February 16, 2009 is the last day to pay taxes without penalty and the Township offices will be open for Presidents' Day
Dick Carlisle, Township Planning Consultant, explained that an effort is underway in Independence Township to gather resident, business owner and tenant comments regarding conditions on Dixie Highway. He noted opportunities for collaboration between Springfield and Independence Townships

ADJOURNMENT: 10:15 p.m.

Laura Moreau, Clerk

Call to Order: Supervisor Mike Trout called the February 12, 2009 Regular Meeting of the Springfield Township Board to order at 7:30 p.m. at the Springfield Township Civic Center, 12000 Davisburg Road, Davisburg, MI 48350.

Pledge of Allegiance

Roll Call:

Board Members Present

Mike Trout	Township Supervisor
Laura Moreau	Township Clerk
Jamie Dubre	Township Treasurer
Judy Hensler	Township Trustee
David Hopper	Township Trustee
Roger Lamont	Township Trustee
Dennis Vallad	Township Trustee

Board Members Not Present

None

Others Present

Greg Need	Township Attorney
-----------	-------------------

Agenda Additions & Changes:

Supervisor Trout stated the blue sheet passed out tonight is Public Comment received via e-mail regarding Old Business item 2 (Horizon EMS Agreement). He asked that ‘2009 Budget Amendments’ listed as New Business item 5 be removed and replaced with ‘Huron Creek Residential Development – Special Land Use and Site Plan Extension.’

Attorney Need stated that there is a resolution that goes along with New Business item 4 ‘Second Reading: Amendments to Ordinance No. 50 Construction Code Ordinance’, so there will be two action items.

Public Comment: None

Supervisor Trout stated Megan Meyers, Samantha Perez and Kathy Bosard from Holly High School are at tonight’s meeting and will be interviewing him after the meeting for their government class.

Consent Agenda:

Trustee Hopper asked that item f ‘Approve final site plan for SBA with authorization of administrative reviews for landscaping and detention basin requirements per the January 19,

2009 draft Planning Commission minutes be removed from the Consent Agenda and added as item 4 under Old Business.

* **Trustee Hopper moved to approve the Consent Agenda as amended by removing item f and adding it to Agenda as Old Business item 4. Trustee Vallad supported the motion. Vote on the motion. Yes: Dubre, Hensler, Hopper, Lamont, Moreau, Trout, and Vallad; No: None; Absent: None. The motion carried by a 7 to 0 vote.**

- a) Approval of Minutes: January 8, 2009 Regular Meeting with bills and additional disbursements of \$338,961.84
- b) Acceptance of January Treasurer's Report
- c) Receipt of January 2009 Reports: Building, Electrical, Plumbing, Mechanical, Ordinance and Fire
- d) Authorize payment of bills as presented, totaling \$39,882.12
- e) Adopt resolution to approve appointment of Mark Freeman to the Holly Area Youth Assistance Board of Directors

Receipt of Communications

- Letter from Road Commission for Oakland County regarding 25 mph signs on the gravel roads
- Letter from SEMCOG appointing Supervisor Mike Trout to the Transportation Advisory Council
- Letter from Comcast regarding channel lineup changes
- Notice from Consumers Energy regarding a Public Hearing concerning the gas cost recovery plan and the monthly gas cost recovery factors
- Letter from Adkison, Need & Allen concerning open files
- Letter from Clarkston Chamber of Commerce regarding the Community Award nominations
- Letter from Oakland County Parks regarding the Community Assistance Program
- E-mail from Eileen Kowall regarding the Federal Economic Recovery bill and Township response.
- Memo from Jennifer Tucker regarding safety inspection of the 1992 GMC truck and about the play equipment grant
- Letter from Carlisle/Wortman regarding Holiday Contributions

Public Hearing:

1. 2007 fy CDBG Reprogramming

Supervisor Trout opened the Public Hearing at 7:37 p.m.

There were no comments from the public.

Supervisor Trout closed the Public hearing at 7:38 p.m.

Old Business:

1. Township Vehicles Bid review

Trustee Lamont stated he is related to one of the bidders and asked for permission to abstain from any discussion or vote on this agenda item.

- * **Supervisor Trout moved that due to a potential conflict that Trustee Lamont be excuse from voting on the motion for Township vehicles. Clerk Moreau supported the motion. Vote on the motion. Yes: Dubre, Hensler, Hopper, Lamont, Moreau, Trout, and Vallad; No: None; Absent: None. The motion carried by a 7 to 0 vote.**

Supervisor Trout stated bids were received on February 2, 2009. Three dealers within the Township bid, but none were the low bidder.

- * **Supervisor Trout moved to accept the bid for the Command vehicle from LaFontaine Automotive Group in an amount of \$26,988.28, which is the base bid, plus the bed liner. Trustee Vallad supported the motion. Vote on the motion. Yes: Dubre, Hensler, Hopper, Moreau, Trout, and Vallad; No: None; Absent: None; Abstain: Lamont. The motion carried by a 6 to 0 vote.**

2. Horizon EMS Agreement

Lester Yant, 7570 Lions Gate Parkway, Davison, MI, representing Horizon EMS, stated what he is looking for is an open ended agreement with the Springfield Township Fire Department to place two ambulances at 9230 Dixie Highway. The ambulances would be Advance Life Support (ALS) units operating 24 hours a day, 7 days a week. He thinks it would be very advantageous to the community. He knows the Township currently has service with Star EMS and he is not trying to take anything away from them. The agreement is a non-binding mutual aid agreement which essentially states if the Fire Department needs transportation, Horizon EMS will be there to transport patients to hospitals of their choice; the agreement is non-contractual and the Fire Department can use Star EMS if they choose.

Trustee Vallad asked exactly where the ambulances would be located. Mr. Yant responded three-quarters of a mile north of the Dixie Highway/I-75 interchange.

Clerk Moreau asked if surrounding communities would also be served.

Mr. Yant responded Horizon EMS would staff based upon the intermediate ALS/EMS policy which states that less than 1,000 people per square mile, at least one paramedic basic would be used. Horizon EMS would essentially be available to other communities in the area, but the only place they can practice is Springfield Township and any community that has less than 1,000 people per square mile, or 1,000 EMS calls per year.

Clerk Moreau stated very little information was received about Horizon EMS. She placed a couple of calls today to see what impact this agreement would have on the community and would have appreciated some background information about Horizon EMS and the partners.

Mr. Yant stated Horizon EMS is a brand new company that he and a friend started after being in the business for a long time and becoming tired of seeing patients, and personnel, treated badly. He stated EMT wages max out at \$9/\$10 an hour and Medics at \$13/\$14, and there is no retirement.

Supervisor Trout clarified that there are two types of services provided, basic life support and advanced life support, which requires two paramedics. Mr. Yant stated Horizon EMS will be ALS.

Mr. Yant stated Springfield Township was picked because he has friends that have worked for different services within the County who have said there is a need for an ambulance in Springfield Township, in the areas between Springfield and Holly Townships. He said in speaking with people that work down here, response times can be up to twenty minutes, and Oakland County Medical Control guidelines are six to eight minutes.

Charlie Oaks, Springfield Township Fire Chief, stated he has been with the Fire Department since it started running 911 calls. Throughout the 1990s, service got so bad that response times from AMR were up to an hour. Because of this, most Fire Departments decided to transport ALS, but some of the northern communities could not afford to hire two paramedics. The State of Michigan requires one paramedic and one EMT; Oakland County exceeds those standards by requiring two paramedics.

Fire Chief Oaks stated typically Fire Chiefs in the southern communities controlled everything. The northern communities banded together and got the standards changed to meet the 1,000 people per square mile. With Fire Departments providing services, it took ambulance services away from AMR, which also had bad management, and they pulled out of this area of the state, at which time the Township started using Star EMS. The Fire Department has a very good working relationship with Star and most of the time, response times are five minutes.

Fire Chief Oaks stated he called today and talked to Bonnie Kincaid and Laura Lynn who handles the licensing for the Michigan Community Health Division. He asked Ms. Lynn why this clause regarding a mutual aid agreement was added. Ms. Lynn responded that the clause was added so that companies have to offer 24 hour service; they no longer can be licensed for basic, private non-transport. He was told today that without this letter of support, Horizon EMS cannot be licensed in Springfield Township.

Supervisor Trout asked Mr. Yant that without this agreement, Horizon EMS could not operate within the Township.

Mr. Yant responded that they could operate as basic, but does not think that would be advantageous to the community; by providing ALS service, it offers all the same services as Star EMS.

Supervisor Trout asked what the call out procedure would be; who would get priority on the calls.

Fire Chief Oaks stated when he talked to Laura Lynn, she indicated she thought the Township has good ambulance service, and she personally did not see a problem. He then called Bonnie Kincaid, who is with Oakland County Medical Control, and told her he has a good working relationship with Star EMS, and asked her how she felt about the proposed agreement with Horizon EMS. Ms. Kincaid informed him that Oakland County Medical does not have any rules or guidelines that state the closest appropriate ambulance has to be called, but that the 911 law indicates that 911 operators must call the closest ambulance service.

Fire Chief Oaks stated what happens now, is a call taker takes the call and gives it to the dispatcher. In the meantime, the call taker calls Star EMS who sends an ambulance out. The Fire Department is then informed of the location the ambulance is dispatched from. Oakland County has a system to dispatch calls with different priorities. If the call is an ALS call, a neighboring township may send an ambulance if they are closer.

Supervisor Trout asked what ambulance service Groveland Township uses. Fire Chief Oaks responded Groveland has their own ambulance, and falls under the one paramedic/one EMT guidelines due to their population. Independence Township has a two paramedic system.

Fire Chief Oaks stated when the Township switched to Star EMS an ambulance was placed at Davisburg Road and Dixie Highway. There weren't enough calls to support an ambulance at that location, and it was moved. He thinks right now the Township has decent ambulance service and feels if Horizon EMS is approved, it could create liability issues in deciding which ambulance company to utilize on a call.

Clerk Moreau stated the idea of having a dedicated ambulance service in the Township is not a problem. She applauds Mr. Yant for wanting to start a business, but that the Township uses Star EMS and the system is working very well. She is not comfortable with the amount of information known about Horizon EMS as a business. She clarified population requirements changed from 1,000 to 1,500 per square mile so the Township would have to grow beyond a population of 1,500 per square mile before the two paramedic system would take effect.

Fire Chief Oaks clarified the change to a population of 1,500 per square mile has been passed at the County level, but still needs to be approved by the State, which is expected any day, but has not happened yet.

Attorney Need stated it is his understanding that a document is needed to allow Horizon EMS to be licensed, but he does not understand the document presented tonight. If the Township is

going to enter into an agreement, what was presented tonight needs to be cleaned up to clarify what, if anything, the Township is committing to.

Treasurer Dubre stated based on Fire Chief Oaks comments this evening she would be concerned entering into anything with Horizon EMS at this time. Star EMS has been providing the Township with service that overall, has been very good.

Trustee Vallad stated if Chief Oaks is not comfortable with the agreement, he would concur.

Supervisor Trout asked if an Agreement would be needed if Horizon EMS decided to start as Basic Life Support. Mr. Yant stated an agreement would still be needed; either with another ambulance service, or a Fire Department.

Treasurer Dubre asked if an agreement can be made with another ambulance service, has Star EMS been contacted. Mr. Yant responded no.

Supervisor Trout asked Attorney Need if the agreement could be structured in a way to indemnify the Township and address the concerns of Fire Chief Oaks. Attorney Need responded he believes it could.

Bill Grubb with Star EMS stated he has a long working relationship with Fire Chief Oaks. He worked at Fleet Ambulance and has been in the business since 1978. He stated he is very proud of Star EMS and took exception to some of Mr. Yant's earlier comments regarding benefits, and the treatment of employees. He is president-elect of Michigan Association of Ambulance Services, and all ambulance companies work cooperatively. He has mutual aid agreements with companies that are competitors. Also, that benefits are provided to employees such as health insurance, 401K, short and long disability, etc.

Mr. Grubb stated Start EMS is a reputable company that prides itself on partnering with health care organizations and local fire departments to provide quality service. He believes Star EMS will do a good job for Springfield Township exclusive of another service.

* **Clerk Moreau moved to decline entering into a Mutual Aid Agreement with Horizon EMS at this time. Treasurer Dubre supported the motion. Vote on the motion. Yes: Dubre, Hensler, Lamont, Moreau, Trout, and Vallad; No: Hopper; Absent: None. The motion carried by a 6 to 1 vote.**

3. Web/Cable broadcasting of Township Board meetings

Supervisor Trout stated it is his recommendation to use existing equipment. He thinks having mobile equipment will provide an opportunity to record from different locations and see how it looks and sounds. The Township would have the current web/cable person record the meetings, and estimates the cost will be less than \$100 per meeting.

Treasurer Dubre asked if the Township has editing equipment. Supervisor Trout responded he does not think much editing would need to be done. He thinks the Township should start by using existing equipment to see how it looks and sounds, and go from there.

Treasurer Dubre asked Supervisor Trout is familiar with Rich Ashley. Supervisor Trout responded he is the person listed as the second option on his memo in the packet.

Supervisor Trout stated he is not comfortable relying on a third party at this point. There would need to be a backup plan if they couldn't make the meeting. He thinks the Township having its own equipment and operator provides for more control.

Clerk Moreau asked if the \$75-\$100 per hour is what is charged for other Springfield Township activities. Supervisor Trout stated that is the amount estimated, but doesn't know if that is what is charged to film other activities.

Treasurer Dubre asked if the \$75-\$100 hourly rate includes editing, similar to the Recording Secretary, the Township doesn't pay additional to have the minutes generated. Supervisor Trout stated the editing would be extra.

Treasurer Dubre stated Rich Ashley has done work for the Township in the past, and thinks he does a good job.

Clerk Moreau stated the Board needs to better understand what equipment is available. If the Township has adequate equipment, it may be unnecessary to hire a third party to record and edit the meetings, but if there needs to be a big equipment investment, then maybe someone outside, with their own equipment, would be appropriate. She does not want to move forward until its known what equipment is available.

Trustee Lamont asked that once the meeting is recorded, that it can go to both the cable channel, and internet.

Supervisor Trout responded Susan Weaver has the capability to do that. Trustee Lamont stated that capability is available in house because she runs the cable broadcasts as it currently stands. Supervisor Trout confirmed that is correct.

Trustee Vallad asked if Independence Township has been approached to see what they do. He recently attended a Planning Commission at the Independence Township Library that had a similar set up to Springfield, and two cameras were used. The camera operator was not an employee of Independence Township.

Supervisor Trout stated he talked to Dave Wagner, Independence Township Supervisor, who has invited the Board to come and see what they are doing.

Trustee Vallad stated he thinks starting with Susan Weaver is an inexpensive way to start, but thinks Independence Township should be consulted since they have already gone through this.

Supervisor Trout stated he would entertain a motion to utilize Susan's services and to purchase equipment to allow the recording to be done on a DVD.

Treasurer Dubre stated the equipment purchase is less than \$500 and that Susan is already on payroll, so as long as there is concurrence that she will record the meetings, a motion is not needed.

Trustee Lamont asked if expenses for this go against PEG funds. Treasurer Dubre responded yes.

4. Final site plan for SBA with authorization of administrative reviews for landscaping and detention basin requirements per the January 19, 2009 draft Planning Commission minutes.

Supervisor Trout stated the applicant is not able to be here this evening and one of the reasons he put this item on the Consent Agenda is because this will be the fifth time it has been looked at.

Trustee Hopper stated he asked this to be pulled from the Consent Agenda because he views Final Site Plan review as one of the most important jobs of the Township Board. He does not want it to appear that something was done prior to the meeting and that is why he felt it should be an agenda item.

- * **Trustee Hopper moved Final Site Approval to SBA for construction of SBA communication tower as submitted, date stamped received 2/3/09 on parcel #07-09-426-002; subject to Planning Commission conditions. Treasurer Dubre supported the motion.**

Trustee Vallad stated if Trustee Hopper hadn't removed this from the Consent Agenda, he would have. He concurs with Trustee Hopper's reasoning for discussing this as an agenda item.

Vote on the motion. Yes: Dubre, Hensler, Hopper, Lamont, Moreau, Trout, and Vallad; No: None; Absent: None. The motion carried by a 7 to 0 vote.

New Business:

1. 2007 fy CDBG Reprogramming

- * **Treasurer Dubre moved to reprogram the 2007 Senior Center funds (account #731696) to Minor Home Repair (account #731227) in the amount of \$6,125.00. Trustee Vallad supported the motion.**

Trustee Vallad stated normally when funds are reprogrammed, Onalee puts together a sheet of where everything is allocated and he would like to see an updated sheet.

Trustee Lamont commented he thinks this a good use of money during these tough economic times.

Vote on the motion. Yes: Dubre, Hensler, Hopper, Lamont, Moreau, Trout, and Vallad; No: None; Absent: None. The motion carried by a 7 to 0 vote.

2. Davisburg/Dixie Market: Sign Proposal

Russ Bachi, 1414 Rivona Drive, Waterford, owner of Davisburg Dixie Market, stated he is not looking to change anything as far as the signage. Without signage, his tenants cannot advertise.

Supervisor Trout reviewed the applicant's proposal that was included in tonight's packet.

- Keep existing dimensional letters on building, and current chicken signs
- Remove all signs on east wall and windows, remove signs from south facing windows, keeping one 'Open' and 'ATM' sign
- Relocate paper boxes and propane dispenser
- Remove existing ground sign at Dixie Highway and Davisburg Road; and on Dixie Highway if a new monument sign is allowed on corner per attached pictures, keeping in compliance for a maximum area of 100 square feet, total 50 square foot per side
- Addition of new light box signs for building above the two spaces, per attached pictures for Island Tanning and Curves
- Addition of banners on light poles to advertise businesses

Supervisor Trout asked if the orientation of the monument sign will be as shown on the pictures. He also suggested the paper boxes could be moved to the beginning of the handicap ramp on the Dixie Hwy. side of the building.

Michael Schuster of Signs by Your Design, LLC, responded that the orientation of sign would be the interpretation of the Board for the best convenience without blocking any views, or ingress/egress from the Dixie Hwy/Davisburg Road intersection; keeping in mind the proper setbacks and the landscaping of the area.

Trustee Vallad asked Mr. Schuster if he is aware that corner is a clear vision corner. Mr. Schuster responded he is, and is not proposing the sign come out any further than anything else in the area. The sign would be set back further than the trees in the area.

Supervisor Trout stated that the top portion of the monument sign is proposed as an L.E.D. sign, which the Township currently does not allow.

Trustee Lamont asked if the intent of the proposal is that if the light box signs are allowed, that the two-way window adheres and the neon signs in the windows would be removed. Mr. Schuster responded yes.

Mr. Schuster stated the idea was to remove the items in the windows that aren't seen unless a car is traveling slowly, or stopped. He thinks the box signs reduce the blight in the area, and will allow more exposure for the building tenants.

Trustee Lamont asked if the paper boxes will be relocated, and if so, where would they go. Mr. Schuster responded that would need to be worked out with Mr. Bachi. Clerk Moreau suggested the boxes could be placed by Curves.

Trustee Lamont asked Mr. Bachi if he has considered selling the papers inside the market. Mr. Bachi responded he does sell them inside, but he has clientele that buys papers from the boxes before the store is open.

Mr. Schuster stated he realizes there is not an ordinance that addresses L.E.D. signs and stated surrounding municipalities are allowing them more and more. Independence Township's ordinance is under review. He stated new technologies allow the signs to be less intrusive and the signs are a good way to keep a large sign to a small size, while still accommodating the needs of the businesses.

Trustee Hensler clarified that address of the property is 9811 Dixie Highway, not 1200 Davisburg Road as is indicated in the packet. She is strongly opposed to an L.E.D. sign and doesn't think it is in keeping with what the Township Board is trying to accomplish in the Township.

Treasurer Dubre asked if the proposed box signs would go over the roof line. Mr. Schuster responded that is the only place they could be put.

Treasurer Dubre asked if the black and white depicted in the pictures would be the color of the signs. Mr. Schuster responded no, the black and white was for representation only.

Treasurer Dubre stated she does not have a problem with banners on the light poles, but is against an L.E.D. sign, she does not think it is harmony with the community, and is not looking to review, or redo, the Township's ordinance. She also would also like to see depictions of the signs as they would appear on the building.

Trustee Hopper also stated he does not want an L.E.D. sign., especially since the Township has been going back and forth with the Road Commission whether or not left hand turn signals are needed, the Township feels they are, the Road Commission does not. He thinks the intersection is a hazard as it's designed right now and anything else on that corner could make it more distracting. He does not have a problem with the placement of the proposed monument sign as long as it is out of the clear area. He would also like to see a final representation of what is being proposed, and is not prepared to move forward until that is received.

Trustee Lamont is not opposed to the proposed signage additions above the tanning salon and Curves, and would also like to see a final representation of what they would look like. He would also like the dimensions of the signs. He is not opposed to the current lettering above the

entrances facing the east and south. He thinks all other ancillary signage along the windows of all the business needs to go, along with the neon open signs. He is not opposed to one 'ATM' sign. He would also like to see where the propane and ice machine are proposed to be relocated and is not opposed to banners on the light poles. He also does not want to see an L.E.D. sign on the monument sign; he thinks the design of the sign should reflect the design of the buildings in some way to make the site more harmonious.

Clerk Moreau is not in favor of an L.E.D. sign. She thinks the existing lettering is fine and wonders if a back lit sign for the Dixie/Davisburg Market, similar to the Curves and tanning sign, would be better; it would free up area on the larger sign to advertise key features of the market instead of an L.E.D. sign. She asked what material will be used for the banners and is concerned they will look tattered.

Mr. Schuster responded the banners will be constructed from 18 ounce vinyl, sewn together back to back. His company did the banners for Ford Field, and those banners are changed out every seven years. He stated if the condition of the banners is a concern of the Board, that approval could indicate the banners must be properly maintained.

Mr. Schuster asked if a sign with changeable letters could be used in place of an L.E.D. sign.

Trustee Hensler stated she is not opposed to that, there are several of those in the community now.

Treasurer Dubre stated she is not in favor of changeable letter signs.

Clerk Moreau asked what types of things would be advertised.

Mr. Schuster stated the reason for the L.E.D. sign would be to advertise specials the tenants may be running. It wasn't for anything other than advertising for the tenants, and the market.

Trustee Vallad stated he is not opposed to an L.E.D. sign if it is done with restrictions and has a frequency change that is not flashing every second. A slow changing sign, in the proper color, would work just fine. He stated this is what business owners that were at the Independence Township Planning Commission meeting are looking for. With respect to the other items proposed this evening, he thinks they are just fine.

Clerk Moreau clarified whether or not the proposed L.E.D. sign would flash. Mr. Schuster responded no it would not change, it would be a static condition, and could be programmed for the day. He stated it could also be used to advertise Township events.

Trustee Lamont stated he was under the impression the sign would flash, and if it doesn't, he is not opposed to looking at that option.

Supervisor Trout stated he is not opposed to an L.E.D. sign. He thinks they can be done tastefully, and likes them better than a changeable letter sign. He agrees with Clerk Moreau's

earlier comments about advertising features of the market on the sign as oppose to the windows. He does not have a problem with 'Open' signs in the windows.

John Thiele, representing Curves, stated he is trying to make his business viable and during these economic times, it is very difficult. Anything that can be done to gain visibility for his business would be helpful. Because of the elevation of the site, a lot of people do not even know his business is there. Something is needed to elevate the identity of his business so people at the intersection can see it. His business is not seen at all on Davisburg Road and the front lit signs there now, are not effective.

Mr. Schuster stated he will take the Board's comments into consideration and will re-present different scenarios to the Board at a later date.

3. First Reading: Specialty Sales Ordinance

Attorney Need stated this Ordinance would allow the Township to regulate what is referred to as Specialty Sales (i.e. going out of business sales, bankruptcy sales, etc.) It tracks the state law, and would require a permit. As requested, a provision was added that requires that all personal property taxes be paid as a condition of issuing the permit, and provides for penalties for violation.

Trustee Vallad asked why this is being proposed.

Clerk Moreau stating in talking with Attorney Hans Rentrop, that there could be many opportunities for a company to have a liquidation or going out of business sale, and this Ordinance would put some restrictions and guidelines in place so the sale wouldn't be months long, and a business could not take in additional inventory from other locations to continue the sale.

Trustee Lamont stated that there is usually a large amount of additional signage advertising a going out of business sale and asked if this Ordinance would enable the Township to enforce signage requirements.

Attorney Need stated the proposed ordinance does not authorize any additional signage, and does not regulate signage. That would be pursuant to the Zoning and other Township ordinances.

Trustee Lamont asked if the business would still have to adhere to other Township Ordinances. Attorney Need responded yes.

*** Trustee Hopper moved to authorize the Clerk to publish for Second Reading, An Ordinance governing Specialty Sales as discussed this evening. Trustee Lamont supported the motion.**

Mark Cooper, 10050 Andersonville Road, asked that when there is a First Reading in front of the Board, if that information could be on the Township's website, or have copies available at the

meeting so the public knows what is being discussed. He stated broadcasting the meetings would not do much good if no one knows what is being discussed.

Vote on the motion: Yes: Dubre, Hensler, Hopper, Lamont, Moreau, Trout, and Vallad; No: None; Absent: None. The motion carried by a 7 to 0 vote.

4. Second Reading: Amendment to Ordinance No. 50 Construction Code Ordinance

Supervisor Trout stated that both the amendment and resolution needs to be adopted, as Attorney Need clarified earlier in the meeting.

Attorney Need stated this is required to qualify for the flood insurance program. He indicated MDEQ has reviewed this, and is comfortable with the language.

* **Trustee Hopper moved to adopt the Amendment to Ordinance No. 50 – Construction Code Ordinance, as published for Second Reading. Amendment to take effect seven days after publication of Notice of Adoption. Further, to adopt the associated resolution to qualify for floodplain insurance for Springfield Township. Trustee Vallad supported the motion. Vote on the motion: Yes: Dubre, Hensler, Hopper, Lamont, Moreau, Trout, and Vallad; No: None; Absent: None. The motion carried by a 7 to 0 vote.**

5. Huron Creek Residential Development – Special Land Use and Site Plan Extension

Supervisor Trout stated this item was originally requested to be on the Zoning Board of Appeals agenda and has been noticed for such.

Grant Ward, 655 Broadway, stated the Weine's have owned this property since the 1930's. They came up with a plan a few years ago to develop the land as site condominium with 22 units. The property is taxed as 22 units. Construction has not started on the site, and a two year extension was granted by the Zoning Board of Appeals in March 2007, and is due to expire. With the state of economy, he does not feel it is an appropriate time to start building. He stated an approved plan was put together, and the Weine's are still interested in doing the project, but would like to hold off construction until a more appropriate time as oppose to starting construction and dragging it out, subjecting the land and environment to exposure that would be damaging. He is asking for a one year extension on the approval of the site plan.

Trustee Vallad clarified that an extension is needed for the Special Land Use as well. Mr. Ward responded yes, extensions are need for all the approvals.

* **Supervisor Trout moved to grant approval of the extension for the Site Plan and Special Land Use. In addition, to refund the Zoning Board of Appeals fee, less any administrative fee the Clerk and Supervisor determine is appropriate. Trustee Vallad supported the motion.**

Trustee Hopper asked if the intent is to grant approval from the date the prior approval expires. Supervisor Trout clarified the extension would begin on March 15.

Vote on the motion: Yes: Dubre, Hensler, Hopper, Lamont, Moreau, Trout, and Vallad; No: None; Absent: None. The motion carried by a 7 to 0 vote.

6. Assessing: Clerical Assistance

Supervisor Trout stated the Assessing Department would like to have additional help for approximately three weeks to help prepare for the Board of Review. There is also statutory training that Assessing staff has to attend. The training is later in the year, but if budget changes are made now, this won't have to come back to the Board at a later date. The current budget does not have sufficient funds to cover training expenses.

* **Supervisor Trout moved that the Assessing cost center be increased \$1,500.00 in the conference dues line item, and increased \$1,500.00 in the clerical line item. Both amounts (\$3,000.00) to come from the Contingency Fund. Trustee Hopper supported the motion.**

Trustee Vallad asked if something changed that requires an additional \$1,500.00 for training.

Supervisor Trout responded there is currently \$1,500.00 budgeted and, according to Vicki Sievers there are changes that require additional training hours. It is about \$1,000 each for all three staff members to attend training.

Treasurer Dubre asked if all three need to attend. She stated the Township has Assessing certified at a level higher than needed; there are two Level III Assessors, and only one is needed.

Supervisor Trout for total conference and dues, \$2,600 is needed, he is asking for an additional \$1,500 for a total of \$3,000. He stated the budget could be increased \$1,000 and see where it ends up.

Treasurer Dubre would like clarification on what training is needed. She also asked if there is someone in mind to fill the temporary position, and if they have Board of Review knowledge. Supervisor Trout responded yes.

Treasurer Dubre stated Vicki Sievers memo indicates last year Assessing staff put in many overtime hours that was issued as comp time, and asked since temporary help is being requested, that staff won't be picking up a bunch of extra hours.

Clerk Moreau stated that can't be known for sure. Supervisor Trout stated he believes that would be the intent.

Treasurer Dubre stated she will have a budget amendment next month for one of her staff members that will need medical insurance, and that money will also come from Contingency.

Trustee Lamont stated he thinks it is important that the Assessors have all the training and knowledge provided to them so they can make the best decisions possible to represent the Township.

Trustee Hopper asked if the 100 hours of temporary help that is being asked for is enough. Supervisor Trout responded he thinks so, and hopes Assessing asked for what they think is needed.

Vote on the motion: Yes: Dubre, Hensler, Hopper, Lamont, Moreau, Trout and Vallad; No: None; Absent: None. The motion carried by a 7 to 0 vote.

7. Master Plan: Final Review

Planner Dick Carlisle stated the Planning Commission has gone through the process of updating the Master Plan. Laws have been updated over the last several years that require a community to review, and if necessary, update their Master Plan every five years. State law now gives the governing body the option of approving the Master Plan, where before it was the responsibility of the Planning Commission. He thinks the Master Plan is in good shape; a comprehensive review was done a few years ago when a number of changes were made.

Mr. Carlisle stated there are not a tremendous number of changes to in the updated Master Plan in front of the Board tonight. There were a number of areas that warranted further investigation and reconsideration that he thinks came to a good resolution. One thing that occurred as a result of development proposals on the south end of Dixie Highway was for the Planning Commission to take a closer look at Dixie Highway, particularly near the I-75 interchange. He was asked to do a more specific analysis of that area. The conclusion was that the south end of Dixie Highway has a different character than the balance of the Township, and has influences upon it different from the rest of the community. He suggested the Planning Commission, and Township, look at that area in a different way than it has in the past.

Mr. Carlisle stated large areas of properties that were previously planned for something different, were included in the Master Plan as Planned Mixed Use. The Planning Commission came to the conclusion that there are large areas that should be designated as Planned Mixed Use, as it is the most flexible, and appropriate designation, for those particular areas.

Mr. Carlisle stated there was public comment regarding specific boundaries of those areas. A significant amount of discussion took place at the Planning Commission level about where those boundaries should exist. A boundary was selected by the Planning Commission that was different than the approach previously taken by the Township.

Mr. Carlisle stated the boundary on the Land Use Plan map may reflect an extension into the Bridge Valley area, the language in the Master Plan reflects a desire to continue to protect the

environmentally sensitive areas of Bridge Valley. He feels this adequately reflects the current thinking of the Planning Commission, and upholding values the Township has tried to promote for many years.

Trustee Hopper thanked the Planning Commission and consultants for their hard work. He does not feel the Future Land Use Plan, as submitted to the Township Board, reflects the possible future land use in the southwest area of Bridge Lake Ecosystem; also, it does not reflect the ordinance, or the Design and Construction Standards and he doesn't think the Planning Commission used the Headwaters project as a basis for that portion of the Land Use Plan. He feels, at minimum, a twenty foot buffer from the wetland boundary must be maintained in that area. He thinks the Plan shows possible future use that is much more extensive, and land and natural resource encompassing, than what is buildable under the Township's ordinances and design and construction standards, and feels it creates a conflict. That is the only area he has problems with and cannot support the Master Plan because of the Land Use map, and would like to see it revised.

* **Trustee Hopper moved to adopt and approve the Springfield Township 2008 Master Plan as presented this evening, subject to revision of the Future Land Use plan, specifically parcels south and west of the Bridge Lake ecosystem, by moving the Plan Mixed Use boundary line back twenty feet from the wetland boundary. Treasurer Dubre supported the motion.**

Clerk Moreau stated she spoke at the Planning Commission meeting in December and proposed a line different than what was adopted. She had concerns where to move the MNFI line. She agrees with Trustee Hopper but she thinks the language changes proposed by Dick Carlisle address any contradictions and potential misunderstandings. Upon reviewing the Future Land Use Plan language, she thinks it has been clarified. She also spoke to Attorney Need, who concurred with Dick Carlisle that the language was clear. It is not her first choice to offer additional caveats and explanations and to have to read deeper and deeper into the Master Plan to find what is available for development but she feels the conflict has been addressed.

Treasurer Dubre stated that people do come in and look just at the Future Land Use Plan without looking at the Master Plan and if somebody came in and only looked at the future land use map it could cause confusion. She stated there are direct conflicts with Township ordinances in relation to what would be allowed on that site. She thinks the Master Plan itself is great.

Trustee Hensler agreed with Trustee Hopper's comments. She thinks the Township is sending a confusing, mixed message that is unclear.

Trustee Vallad stated he has been involved with overhauls of the Master Plan three times and the process can drag on for years. There are thirteen people between the Planning Commission and Township Board to get through the approval process, and not everyone is going to be in agreement. He thinks the additional language drafted by Dick Carlisle is a compromise to address specific concerns. This also isn't his first choice, and thinks it leaves room for ambiguity and agreed with Treasurer Dubre's earlier comment that some people will look only at the Future

Land Use map. He stated the Master Plan is a guide to how specific areas will be developed, but the actual regulations come from the design and construction standards, township ordinances, overlay districts, etc. He thinks the Master Plan needs to be adopted and then work toward something everyone is more comfortable with.

Dick Carlisle stated that the way the motion is stated, the Master Plan would have to be sent back to the Planning Commission because it would be different than what was presented. The way the law is constructed is for the Township Board to accept or reject the Plan as presented. The option before the Board is to accept or reject the plan, or take no action and send it back to the Planning Commission with a recommendation.

Attorney Need stated if the plan is rejected, it has to go back to the Planning Commission with reasons for the rejection.

Trustee Lamont stated the Master Plan process is a monumental task and every parcel is unique, and that no two parcels can be treated the same. He thanked the Attorney and consultants for helping guide the Planning Commission through this process. He stated there was debate at the Planning Commission level as to where to place the line. He feels the language changes to supplement the Future Land Use map is properly worded so there is no confusion as to what can be developed. He agreed with Trustee Vallad's comments that development is subject to ordinances and overlay districts. The area in question is bounded by two overlay districts (Dixie Highway Corridor Overlay and the Bridge Valley Resource Conservation Protection Overlay). He is very comfortable with where the line is and the language changes that were adopted. He was Chair of the Planning Commission for three years and had the opportunity to review the Master Plans of adjacent communities and feels Springfield Township has a fine document.

Clerk Moreau stated a comment she would like relayed to the Planning Commission is that she thinks what prompted the extensive discussion regarding the Planned Mixed Use boundary line is the question of having consistency. This area of Dixie Highway is of particular concern and interest to the community because it is an area intended for high density commercial development immediately alongside an area of high quality natural areas. The area is unique and warrants special consideration. The revised Planned Mixed Use designation addresses the unique needs and challenges of the area. Although she agrees with the intent of Trustee Hopper's motion and she is glad to have this discussion at the Board level, she will be voting no because she feels it is in the best interest of the community to adopt the Master Plan and move forward.

Supervisor Trout stated he agrees that the Master Plan is a difficult process, but it is his opinion that the Planned Mixed Use designation is a designation that was created to allow flexibility in development, to allow a mix of uses including recreation, open space and natural areas to coexist with commercial and residential uses. He appreciates the work done at the Planning Commission level and the public input that was received. He feels the document is as good as it can get right now, and there is always opportunity to make changes.

Dr. O'Neil, Holcomb Road, assured the Board they will get nowhere near the wetlands. He knows this process took a lot of time and he thinks it is a good plan and it was approved at the Planning Commission. The wetlands define themselves and he would also want setbacks for the land we have, and thinks Bordine's would, and that transition is in the benefit of commercial development to blend into a residential area. He stated the Township Board and Planning Commission have many opportunities to tell a developer where that line belongs as opposed to identifying it now. He suggested leaving it to the future as the Township has to look at this every five years and he has no plans to do anything with that property right now.

Cory Johnston, Clarkston City Council member, stated Springfield Township's Master Plan, as well as their Ordinances, serve as models for surrounding areas. He stated if there is any reluctance by the Board to approve the Master Plan, he asked that the Board come back with the best possible plan, and not be reluctant about changing it.

Trustee Hopper withdrew his motion, Treasurer Dubre supported the withdrawal.

- * **Trustee Hopper moved to send the Master Plan back to the Planning Commission; to revise the Future Land Use Plan, specifically parcels south and west of the Bridge Lake Ecosystem by moving the Planned Mixed Use line back twenty feet from the wetlands boundary to reflect the Design and Construction Standards, the Headwaters project, and the Township's Ordinances, including both overlay districts; to reflect actual, achievable objectives in that area. No support – motion failed.**
- * **Clerk Moreau moved to adopt the Springfield Township Master Plan as presented, with noted edits prepared by Carlisle/Wortman Associates. Trustee Vallad supported the motion.**

Leonard Gorz, 7687 Brook Lane, asked if the additions were done before or after the Planning Commission sent the Master Plan to the Township Board. Dick Carlisle responded that the edits were done by the Planning Commission and prepared by Carlisle Wortman.

Vote on the motion: Yes: Dubre, Hensler, Lamont, Moreau, Trout, and Vallad; No: Hopper; Absent: None. The motion carried by a 6 to 1 vote.

8. Dixie Highway Access and Safety study

Supervisor Trout stated a proposal was received from Hubbell, Roth & Clark to take a more comprehensive look at Dixie Highway in regard to safety. They will be analyzing the portion of Dixie Highway from Big Lake to Davisburg Roads, including the highway interchange. They have asked for a not to exceed budget of \$16,000.00, which will hopefully result in a recommendation for development of safety improvements.

Trustee Lamont stated that in order to qualify for stimulus money, projects need to be ready to go. He asked if this study would give the Township a plan it could adopt that would get the Township one step closer to a project that might be ready to go and qualify for federal money.

Supervisor Trout responded yes. He believes this study will be detailed enough for the Township to have cost estimates and an actual development plan. He stated this process is a first step in moving toward stimulus money, and other funding sources.

Trustee Hopper asked where the funds would come from. Supervisor Trout stated the money is in the consultants account.

Treasurer Dubre asked which line item. Under the consultants account, there was almost \$3,000 spent in January on planning services, and the budget is only \$30,000 for the year.

Trustee Hopper asked where the money was budgeted for Planning that was part of the CDBG funds. Treasurer Dubre stated it is listed separately, it is an expense and revenue all its own.

Trustee Hopper stated some of those monies were designated for planning and would lessen the General Fund burden. Some of the CDBG monies were earmarked to be used at the Supervisor's discretion for the Dixie Highway corridor.

Supervisor Trout asked what was budget for consultants. Treasurer Dubre responded \$78,000.00 but there is already \$3,000 spent on Planners and at that pace, that line item is over budget.

Treasurer Dubre stated she thinks the \$16,000.00 needs to be spent. The money can be allocated from consultants, but money can be aligned back from CDBG monies if needed.

Trustee Vallad suggested authorizing the project and determine over the next month, which accounts to charge.

*** Trustee Vallad moved to authorize the Supervisor to enter into an agreement with Hubbell, Roth and Clark for the Dixie Highway Access and Safety Study, as outline in his memo of February 2, 2009, and the letter from Hubbell, Roth and Clark dated January 19, 2009. Cost of the study not to exceed \$16,000.00. Trustee Hopper supported the motion.**

Mark Cooper, 10050 Andersonville Road, stated a few meetings ago he asked if the Rattalee Lake Road/Dixie Highway intersection would be part of this study because of the safety factors brought up two months ago.

Supervisor Trout stated the Township is looking at that. It is not specifically in this proposal, but that intersection has been discussed with both the Planner and Engineer.

Trustee Vallad suggested when data is pulled that is needed for this study, that data for the Rattalee Lake/Dixie Highway intersection also be pulled and asked Supervisor Trout to relay that

request to Hubbell, Roth and Clark. He also stated five year crash data can be pulled just as easily as three year data.

Trustee Hopper suggested getting crash data up to the Holly Road/Dixie Highway intersection.

Trustee Lamont supports this concept because it opens up the Township for possible grant or stimulus money but in addition to that Independence Township is undergoing a major study along Dixie Highway, and is contiguous to Springfield Township, so he feels this is very timely and appropriate.

Supervisor Trout noted that a lot of grant opportunities have provisions that allow for the reimbursement of preliminary engineering.

Vote on the motion: Yes: Dubre, Hensler, Hopper, Lamont, Moreau, Trout, and Vallad; No: None; Absent: None. The motion carried by a 7 to 0 vote.

9. April 9, 2009 meeting date

Supervisor Trout stated he is unable to attend the April 9th meeting. He does not want to miss a meeting and asked the Township Board to consider changing the meeting date.

* **Trustee Lamont moved to set the April Township Board meeting date as April 16, 2009 at 7:30 p.m. and to authorize the Clerk to publish the change. Supervisor Trout supported the motion. Vote on the motion: Yes: Dubre, Hensler, Hopper, Lamont, Moreau, Trout, and Vallad; No: None; Absent: None. The motion carried by a 7 to 0 vote.**

10. NOHLC Lease

Supervisor Trout stated this is a request from North Oakland Headwaters Land Conservancy (NOHLC), in conjunction with the Oakland Conservation District, to rent space that used to house the Building Department. They are able to pay \$375 per month.

Treasurer Dubre asked if the changing of the door and phones would be an expense to the Township. Supervisor Trout stated the door would be an expense to the Township, and asked how the telephones would be handled.

Katie Anderson, NOHLC Executive Director, stated they did not have a specific plan for the telephones. They only need one, and there is already phone jacks in the office, so the extension would need to move, but does not think there is a wiring issue.

Treasurer Dubre stated there is a charge to have the phone company come out. Ms. Anderson responded NOHLC would be willing to pay their share of that bill.

Trustee Hopper asked when this move would take place. Ms. Anderson responded neither the NOHLC nor Oakland Conservation District Board has approved this. The move would be at least a month out, and there is no hurry on their end.

Sue Julian, President of NOHLC Board of Directors, stated she is very grateful to the Township and is pleased to be located within the Township.

* **Trustee Hensler moved to approve, with the consent of both the NOHLC and Oakland Conservation District Boards, that the Township lease space at a rate of \$375 per month, on a month to month basis, as soon as it can be accomplished. Agreement details to be worked out between the Township Supervisor and NOHLC Director, Katie Anderson. Clerk Moreau supported the motion. Vote on the motion: Yes: Dubre, Hensler, Hopper, Lamont, Moreau, Trout, and Vallad; No: None; Absent: None. The motion carried by a 7 to 0 vote.**

Public Comment:

Treasurer Dubre stated the last day to pay taxes is Monday, February 16, 2009, which is President's Day, but the Township offices will be open.

Dick Carlisle, stated there is a major effort going on in Independence Township to get a lot of business owner/tenant/land owner comment about what needs to be done along Dixie Highway. He stated that effort is ongoing; one major meeting has been held and many sub-committees have been formed. There have also been meetings about collaboration between Independence and Springfield Townships, particularly in the interchange area because there are mutual concerns in that area. He clarified the issue of L.E.D. signs in Independence Township was brought up by business owners on Dixie Highway. There has not been any official reconsideration by either the Township Board, or Planning Commission, regarding L.E.D. signs.

Adjournment:

Hearing no other business, Supervisor Trout adjourned the meeting at 10:17 p.m.

Mike Trout, Township Supervisor

Laura Moreau, Township Clerk

BILLS PRESENTED FOR PAYMENT:**GENERAL FUND**

Arlene Badgley	\$ 15.00
Quill (2)	655.82
Petty Cash	82.59
Jamie Dubre	617.35
Oakland County	161.72
Micta	100.00
Pontem Software by RIA (2)	21.97
McKay's Hardware	71.80
Sherman Publications, Inc. (3)	381.60
Onalee Carnes	122.50
Judy Hensler	35.12
Mike Trout	20.00
Roger Lamont	53.90
Premium Truck & Auto Body, Inc.	1,001.18
RLW Accounting Services, LLC	157.50
Coffee Break Service, Inc.	56.00
Suburban Office & Janitorial	98.48
Oakland County Clerk's Association	25.00
Business Micro Resource Corp.	300.00
Selective Insurance	3,741.79
Security Central Inc.	90.00
Road Commission for Oakland County	59.29
Traffic Improvement Association	100.00
High Smith	327.91
Mat Rental Service	50.25
Mechanical Comfort, Inc. (2)	<u>496.00</u>
TOTAL	\$ 8,842.77

Additional Disbursements:

Mike Forst (2)	\$ 1,667.66
Nextel Communications	136.95
UNUM	314.45
OCATS	60.00
Absolute Building Maintenance	2,735.00
Adkison, Need & Allen, PLLC	2,837.83
American Speedy Printing Center	138.00
American United Life	21.22

Clinton River Watershed Council	35.00
Cutter's Edge Engraving	16.00
DTE Energy – Street Lighting	1,888.64
DTE Energy (2)	2,972.36
Hubbell, Roth & Clark (3)	4,617.00
Impressive Type	98.00
Mat Rental Service	50.25
MI Dept. of Labor & Economic Growth	180.00
Michigan State University	55.00
Michigan Township Association	110.50
Micropure Water Service, Inc.	99.15
Mike Trout	248.01
No Limits Landscaping	2,181.50
Onalee Carnes	140.00
Paetec	797.30
Petty Cash	18.32
Quill	347.26
Refund – Albert Weine & Sons	200.00
Road Commission for Oakland County	80.39
Smith's Disposal	65.00
Spring Mountain Water Company	6.25
Suburban Office & Jan. Supplies	114.47
Unicare	140.01
Waterland Office Machines	2,100.00
League Employment Services	8,221.16
Consumers Energy	1,351.26
	TOTAL
	\$ 34,043.94
	<u>GRAND TOTAL</u>
	<u>\$ 42,886.71</u>

BILLS PRESENTED FOR PAYMENT:**FIRE FUND**

Marlan Hillman	\$ 214.87
State of Michigan	150.00
52 nd District Court 2 nd Div. (2)	431.97
Comcast (2)	125.03
The Dive Shop, Inc.	354.00
Douglass Safety Systems, LLC	206.30
Kerton Lumber Co.	208.03
Lessors	16.50
Mazza Auto Parts	36.54
Michigan Water Conditioning	22.50
W. Joe Miller	526.22
Moore Medical	134.95
New Pig	109.14
Oakland Fire Fund	3,000.00
Oakland County Medical Authority	200.00
Office Depot	262.46
P&W	94.50
Quill	212.77
Selective Insurance	3,614.64
Trace Analytics, Inc. (2)	<u>225.00</u>

TOTAL**\$ 10,145.42****Additional Disbursements:**

Consumers Energy (3)	\$ 2,172.03
AT&T (3)	333.79
Quill (2)	69.09
Nextel Communications	87.27
UNUM	88.02
LaFontaine Automotive Group	26,988.28
Apco International, Inc.	420.00
Allen & Hope Process Serving	20.00
Petty Cash	36.39
Christopher Kettle	80.00
Comcast	79.09
DTE Energy (3)	556.03
Kerton Lumber Co.	22.77
Madison Heights Glass Co., Inc.	610.00

No Limits Landscaping	230.00
Planet Rock	189.00
Road Commission for Oakland County	363.57
Unicare	58.50
League Employee Benefit Service	<u>1,985.26</u>
TOTAL	\$ 34,389.09
<u>GRAND TOTAL</u>	<u>\$ 44,534.51</u>

BILLS PRESENTED FOR PAYMENT:	POLICE FUND
None	<u>\$ 0.00</u>
TOTAL	\$ 0.00
Additional Disbursements:	
Nextel Communications	<u>\$ 76.36</u>
TOTAL	\$ 76.36
<u>GRAND TOTAL</u>	<u>\$ 76.36</u>

BILLS PRESENTED FOR PAYMENT:	CABLE TV FUND
None	<u>\$ 0.00</u>
TOTAL	\$ 0.00
Additional Disbursements:	
General Fund (2)	<u>\$ 547.00</u>
TOTAL	\$ 547.00
<u>GRAND TOTAL</u>	<u>\$ 547.00</u>

BILLS PRESENTED FOR PAYMENT:	CIVIC CENTER DEBT FUND
None	<u>\$ 0.00</u>
TOTAL	\$ 0.00
Additional Disbursements:	
None	<u>\$ 0.00</u>
TOTAL	\$ 0.00
<u>GRAND TOTAL</u>	<u>\$ 0.00</u>

BILLS PRESENTED FOR PAYMENT:	BUILDING DEPT FUND
Ron Shelton	\$ 454.03
Tim Koerber	149.18
Charles B. Warner	703.63
Grant Ward Surveyors	<u>10.00</u>
TOTAL	\$ 1,316.84
Additional Disbursements:	
Blue Cross and Blue Shield	<u>\$ 214.18</u>
TOTAL	\$ 214.18
<u>GRAND TOTAL</u>	<u>\$ 1,531.02</u>

BILLS PRESENTED FOR PAYMENT:	LAKE IMPROVEMENT FUND
<i>Waumegah Lake</i>	
Oakland County	\$ 17,027.09
State of Michigan	800.00
<i>Dixie Lake</i>	
Progressive AE	<u>1,750.00</u>
TOTAL	\$ 19,577.09
Additional Disbursements:	
None	<u>\$ 0.00</u>
TOTAL	\$ 0.00
<u>GRAND TOTAL</u>	<u>\$ 19,577.09</u>

BILLS PRESENTED FOR PAYMENT:	SOFTWATER LAKE FUND
None	<u>\$ 0.00</u>
TOTAL	\$ 0.00
Additional Disbursements:	
State of Michigan	<u>\$ 200.00</u>
TOTAL	\$ 200.00
<u>GRAND TOTAL</u>	<u>\$ 200.00</u>

GRAND TOTAL FOR ALL FUNDS **\$ 109,352.69**